

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,270	05/23/2005	Hidenori Nakajima	260617US0PCT	5020	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 12/20/2006 AK. MCCLELLAND, 1	, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	REET		CARLSON, KAREN C		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/511,270	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 1st paragraph scope of enablement and written description. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will will wi	rill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 20-28,30 and 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 29,31-43 and 45			•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 11/22/	2006	•		

13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants urge that Tang et al. disclose a huge genus of DNA sequences and does not specifically suggest the subgenus of polynucleotides that would bind WF00144. Tan et al. do disclose a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide having 99.6% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, and 96.6% sequence identity to the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2, which is the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Therefore, the function of binding to WF00144 is an inherent property of the encoded polypeptide and Tang et al. remains art agaist the invention.

Applicants urge that Stausberg et al. disclose over 15,000 cDNA sequences and therefore discloses a huge genus of DNA sequences and does not specifically suggest the subgenus of polynucleotides that would bind WF00144. Tan et al. do disclose a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide having 100% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2, and 96.6% sequence identity to the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2, which is the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Therefore, the function of binding to WF00144 is an inherent property of the encoded polypeptide and Strausberg et al. remains art agaist the invention.

Applicants urge that Valenzuela et al. do not teach isolated nucleic acid sequences having at least 15 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 1. The claims recites "fragments consisting of at least" and is therefore the claim language is "open" inspite of the the phrase "consisting of".

The rejection of the Claims under 112, 1st para for scope of enablment and for written description has been withdrawn because the specification teaches Riken, human, and rat polypeptides in the examples - see pages 37-38, for example - and one skilled in the art would align these closely related sequences and know where mutations could and could not be placed such that the structure and function of the polypeptide having SEQ ID NO: 2 would be maintained. . . Karen Cochrane Carlson Par

> KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER